

Contents

Foreword	V
Abbreviations	XVI

Part 1 Introduction

I. Criminal Collectives	1
A. The rise of a ‘new’ crime phenomenon in Europe	1
B. Criminal collectives and ‘organised crime’ – a brief historical overview of the concept	3
C. Criminal collectives and understanding ‘organised crime’ today	6
D. Trends and sources of danger	10
1. Trends	11
a. Expansion of the scope of activities	11
b. Internationalisation	12
c. Specialisation/professionalisation and increased use of new technologies	13
d. Shielding and intimidating practices	14
e. Connections with the upper-world	14
f. Structure	15
2. Sources of danger	16
E. The challenges for substantive criminal law	19
II. Object, Aims, and Methodology of Research	21
A. Object of research – participation in a criminal organisation and conspiracy	21
B. Aims of research	22
C. Methodology	22
D. Use of terms – objective and subjective elements	23

Part 2 Traditional National Models: ‘Participation in a Criminal Organisation’ and ‘Conspiracy’

I. Introduction	26
II. Germany	26
A. Founding a criminal association (§ 129 StGB)	26
1. Historical development	26
2. Justification	33
3. Elements of the criminal offence	35

a.	A criminal association	35
b.	Aims of the criminal association	38
c.	Forms of participation in criminal associations	41
d.	Subjective elements	47
4.	The issue of concurrence	49
a.	Merging different forms of participation in a criminal association ...	49
b.	Merging participation in a criminal association and the offences committed within that association	50
5.	Punishment	53
B.	Criminal agreement (§ 30 Abs. 2 StGB)	59
1.	Historical development	59
2.	Justification	60
3.	Elements of the offence of participation in a criminal agreement	62
a.	Agreement (the actus reus of conspiracy)	62
b.	Objective of the agreement	63
c.	Participants in a criminal agreement	65
d.	Subjective elements (the mens rea of criminal agreement)	66
aa.	Knowledge of the object of the agreement	67
bb.	Knowledge of the parties to the agreement	68
cc.	Intention of the conspirators	68
4.	Merging	69
5.	Punishment	71
III.	England and Wales	72
A.	Historical development of ‘conspiracy’	72
B.	Justification for the offence of ‘conspiracy’	74
C.	Elements of statutory conspiracy	78
1.	Agreement (actus reus of conspiracy)	78
2.	Objective of the agreement	80
3.	Participants in the conspiracy	85
4.	Subjective elements (the mens rea of conspiracy)	88
a.	Knowledge of the agreed objective of the conspiracy and the circumstances surrounding it	88
b.	Knowledge about the parties to the agreement	90
c.	Intention that the agreement be carried out and the agreed offence be committed	91
D.	Merging	94
E.	Punishment	97
IV.	Comparison of the Traditional Models of ‘Participation in a Criminal Organisation’ and ‘Conspiracy’	98
A.	Introduction	98
B.	Comparative legal analysis based on the justification and the constitutive elements of the models	99
1.	Justification for the offence	99
a.	Conspiracy	99

b.	Criminal association	100
c.	Conclusion	101
2.	The criminal collective	102
a.	Conspiracy	102
b.	Criminal association	103
c.	Conclusion	104
3.	Aims of the criminal collective	105
a.	Conspiracy	105
b.	Criminal association	105
c.	Conclusion	106
4.	Forms of participation	107
a.	Conspiracy	107
b.	Criminal association	107
c.	Conclusion	109
5.	Subjective elements	110
a.	Knowledge of the criminal collective	110
aa.	Conspiracy	110
bb.	Criminal association	111
cc.	Conclusion	111
b.	Knowledge of the aims of the criminal collective	112
aa.	Conspiracy	112
bb.	Criminal association	112
cc.	Conclusion	113
c.	Intentions	113
aa.	Conspiracy	113
bb.	Criminal association	114
cc.	Conclusion	114
C.	Comparative legal analysis based on the possible theoretical outcomes of the models	115
1.	Case description of a hypothetical organised car theft	115
2.	Theoretical solutions	118
a.	Criminally liable individuals regardless of the model applied	118
b.	Individuals criminally liable in only one of the models	119
c.	Individuals not criminally liable, regardless of the model applied	120
D.	Conclusions based on a comparative legal analysis of the traditional models	121
Part 3 International Models: ‘Participation in a Criminal Organisation’ and ‘Conspiracy’		123
I.	Introduction	123
II.	The United Nations	123
A.	General development	123

1.	History of Article 5 UNTOC	123
a.	General development of UNTOC	124
b.	Development of Article 5 UNTOC	131
2.	Justification for Article 5 UNTOC	137
3.	Offences defined by Article 5 UNTOC	138
B.	Participation in a conspiracy	140
1.	Agreement (the actus reus of conspiracy)	140
2.	Objective of the agreement	142
3.	Participants in the conspiracy	142
4.	Subjective elements (the mens rea of conspiracy)	143
a.	Knowledge of the object of the agreement	144
b.	Knowledge of the parties to the agreement	144
c.	Intention of the conspirators	145
5.	Merging	146
6.	Punishment	147
C.	Participation in an organised criminal group	148
1.	An organised criminal group	148
2.	Aims of the organised criminal group	151
3.	Forms of participation in an organised criminal group	154
4.	Subjective elements	157
5.	Merging	158
6.	Punishment	158
III.	The European Union	158
A.	General development	158
1.	History of Article 2 of the Framework Decision	158
2.	Justification for the offences defined by Article 2 of the Framework Decision	168
3.	Offences defined by Article 2 of the Framework Decision	169
B.	Participation in a criminal organisation	170
1.	A criminal organisation	171
2.	Aims of the criminal organisation	172
3.	Forms of participation in a criminal organisation	173
4.	Subjective elements	174
5.	Merging	175
6.	Punishment	175
C.	Participation in a conspiracy	177
1.	Agreement (the actus reus of conspiracy)	177
2.	Objective of the agreement	177
3.	Participants in the conspiracy	178
4.	Subjective elements (the mens rea of conspiracy)	179
a.	Knowledge of the object of the agreement	179
b.	Knowledge about the parties to the agreement	180
c.	Intention of the conspirators	181

5. Merging	182
6. Punishment	182
IV. Conclusions: Transfer of Elements of ‘Participation in a Criminal Organisation’ and ‘Conspiracy’ from Traditional to International Models	182
A. The roles of Germany and the UK in the drafting of international models of ‘participation in a criminal organisation’ and ‘conspiracy’	183
1. The UN models	183
2. The EU models	184
B. Comparison of elements of traditional and international models of ‘participation in a criminal organisation’ and ‘conspiracy’	185
1. Traditional and UN models	185
a. Conspiracy	185
b. Participation in a criminal organisation	186
2. Traditional and EU models.....	187
a. Conspiracy	187
b. Participation in a criminal organisation	188
Part 4 Transitional Models: ‘Participation in a Criminal Organisation’ and ‘Conspiracy’	190
I. Introduction	190
II. Bosnia and Herzegovina	191
A. Organised crime (§ 250 CCBiH)	191
1. Historical development	191
2. Justification	203
3. Elements of the criminal offence	204
a. Criminal organisation	204
b. Aims of the criminal organisation	206
c. Forms of participation in a criminal organisation	208
d. Subjective elements	213
4. The issue of concurrence	214
a. Merging different forms of participation in a criminal organisation	215
b. Merging participation in a criminal organisation and the offences committed within that organisation	216
5. Punishment	218
B. Conspiracy to commit a crime (§ 247 CCBiH)	219
1. Historical development	219
2. Justification	220
3. Elements of the offence	220
a. Agreement (the actus reus of conspiracy)	221
b. Objective of the agreement	223
c. Participants in the conspiracy	223

d.	Subjective elements (mens rea of a conspiracy)	224
aa.	Knowledge of the object of the agreement	225
bb.	Knowledge of the parties to the agreement	225
cc.	Intention of the conspirators	226
4.	Merging	227
5.	Punishment	229
III.	Croatia	229
A.	Associating for the purpose of committing a criminal offence (§ 333 CCC)	229
1.	Historical development	229
2.	Justification	231
3.	Elements of the offence	231
a.	A criminal organisation	232
b.	Aims of the criminal organisation	233
c.	Forms of participation in a criminal organisation	234
d.	Subjective elements	237
4.	The issue of concurrence	238
a.	Merging different forms of participation in a criminal organisation	238
b.	Merging participation in a criminal organisation and the offences committed within that organisation	239
5.	Punishment	240
B.	Conspiracy to commit a criminal offence (§ 332 CCC)	241
1.	Historical development	241
2.	Justification	241
3.	Elements of the offence	242
a.	Agreement (the actus reus of conspiracy)	242
b.	Objective of the agreement	244
c.	Participants in the conspiracy	244
d.	Subjective elements (the mens rea of conspiracy)	245
aa.	Knowledge of the object of the agreement	245
bb.	Knowledge of the parties to the agreement	246
cc.	Intention of the conspirators	247
4.	Merging	247
5.	Punishment	248
IV.	Serbia	249
A.	Criminal associating (§ 346 CCS)	249
1.	Historical development	249
2.	Justification	250
3.	Elements of the offence	251
a.	A criminal association	251
b.	Aim of the criminal association	253

c.	Forms of participation in a criminal association	254
d.	Subjective elements	256
4.	The issue of concurrence	257
a.	Merging different forms of participation in a criminal association	257
b.	Merging participation in a criminal association and the offences committed within that association.....	258
5.	Punishment	260
B.	Conspiracy to commit a crime (§ 345 CCS)	261
1.	Historical development	261
2.	Justification	261
3.	Elements of the offence	262
a.	Agreement (the actus reus of conspiracy)	262
b.	Objective of the agreement	264
c.	Participants in the conspiracy	264
d.	Subjective elements (the mens rea of conspiracy)	265
aa.	Knowledge of the object of the agreement	265
bb.	Knowledge of the parties to the agreement	266
cc.	Intention of the conspirators	266
4.	Merging	267
5.	Punishment	268
V.	Conclusion – Transfer of the Elements of the Participation in a Criminal Organisation and Conspiracy from the International (UN) Model to the Transitional Models	269
A.	Bosnia and Herzegovina	269
1.	Participation in a criminal organisation	269
2.	Participation in conspiracy	273
3.	Conclusion	273
B.	Croatia	274
1.	Participation in a criminal organisation	274
2.	Participation in conspiracy	275
3.	Conclusion	275
C.	Serbia	276
1.	Participation in a criminal organisation	276
2.	Participation in conspiracy	277
3.	Conclusion	278
	Part 5 Conclusions	279
I.	The Traditional Models	279
A.	How different are the models?	279
1.	A criminal collective	279
2.	The aim of a criminal collective	280
3.	Forms of participation in a criminal collective	280

4.	Subjective elements	281
a.	Knowledge of the criminal collective	281
b.	Knowledge of the aims of a criminal collective	281
c.	Intentions	281
5.	How can these differences be explained?	282
B.	Is punishment for participation in a criminal organisation and conspiracy equally legitimate?	284
1.	When is it legitimate to criminalise preparation of a criminal offence?	284
a.	Extension of criminalisation through endangerment offences	285
aa.	Endangerment through ‘uncontrollable, objective danger’ ...	286
bb.	Endangerment through ‘criminal planning’	286
cc.	Endangerment through ‘criminal collectives’	288
b.	Extension of criminalisation through protected collective legal interests	288
2.	Justification for the two alternative models of participation in a criminal collective	289
a.	Justification for criminalising participation in a criminal association	289
aa.	Founders of a criminal association	289
bb.	Members of a criminal association	289
cc.	Recruiters for a criminal association	290
dd.	Supporters of a criminal association	291
b.	Justification for conspiracy	291
3.	Conclusion on the justification of the two alternatives with regard to the ‘increased dangerousness’ argument	292
C.	Does either of the models address the problem entirely?	294
D.	Which model is better?	295
II.	The International Models	296
A.	The transfer of elements from traditional models?	296
B.	International models’ potential for harmonisation of criminal law?	297
1.	Harmonisation as the aim of international models	297
2.	Problems in achieving the aim	298
a.	Conspiracy and participation in a criminal organisation as non-viable alternatives	298
b.	Minimum standards and vague terminology	299
3.	Conclusion	300
III.	The Transitional Models	300
A.	Transference of elements from international models?	300
B.	Was the transfer of elements conducted successfully?	301
1.	Transference with regard to the definition of a criminal organisation	301
2.	Transference with regard to forms of participation	303
IV.	A Common Approach?	304

Zusammenfassung	305
I. Die traditionellen Modelle	305
A. Wie verschieden sind die Modelle?	305
1. Ein kriminelles Kollektiv	305
2. Das Ziel eines kriminellen Kollektivs	306
3. Formen der Beteiligung an einem kriminellen Kollektiv	306
4. Subjektive Merkmale	307
a. Wissen über das kriminelle Kollektiv	307
b. Wissen über die Ziele des kriminellen Kollektivs	307
c. Absichten	308
5. Wie lassen sich diese Unterschiede erklären?	308
B. Ist der präventive Zweck beider Modelle gleichermaßen zu rechtfertigen?	310
C. Kann eines der beiden Modelle die Problematik umfassend lösen?	312
D. Welches Modell ist besser?	313
II. Die internationalen Modelle	314
A. Übertragung der Merkmale der traditionellen Modelle?	314
B. Das Potential der internationalen Modelle für die Harmonisierung des Strafrechts	316
1. Harmonisierung als Ziel der internationalen Modelle	316
2. Probleme dieses Ziel zu erreichen	317
a. Verschwörung und Beteiligung an einer kriminellen Organisation sind keine gangbaren Alternativen	317
b. Mindeststandards und unbestimmte Terminologie	317
3. Fazit	319
III. Die Übergangsmodelle	319
A. Übertragung von Merkmalen der internationalen Modelle?	319
B. Ist die Übertragung erfolgreich vorgenommen worden?	321
1. Die Übertragung in Hinsicht auf die Definition einer kriminellen Organisation	321
2. Übertragung im Hinblick auf die Formen der Beteiligung	322
IV. Ein gemeinsamer Ansatz?	323
Bibliography	325
Jurisprudence	336